All communications respecting this application should give the serial number, date of filing and name of the applicant.





U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

Application Number	Filing Date	First Named Applicant	Attorney Docket Number
10/022,276	12/14/01	Gosselin	NOV 1000 CON1
			Examiner
			L. E. Crane
			Art Unit Paper No.
•			1623 11 ATE MAILED: <u>n/a</u>
	INTERVI	EW SUMMARY	ATE MAILED. IIIA
All participants (applicant, ap (1) Ms. Sherry M. Knowle (2) Examiner L. E. Crane	<u>es</u>	ГО personnel) <u>(3)</u> <u>(4)</u>	
Date of Interview: <u>09/16/02.</u>			
Type: X Telephonic -	Personal (copy given to)	- applicant - ap	oplicant's representative
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes X No If yes, brief description: See attachment.			
Agreement X was reached	d with respect to some of all o	f the claims in question.	- was not reached
Claim(s) discussed: See page 2.			
Identification of prior art discussed: See page 2.			
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comment: See p. 2.			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would be allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) 1. X It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview. Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP § 713.04) If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.			
complete response to action, and since the requirements of the la unless box 1 above is	o each of the objections, rej claims are now allowable, ast Office action. Applicant s also checked.	the completed form is consider is not relieved from providing the second	nat may be present in the last Office dered to fulfill the responseing a separate record of the interview
Examiner Note: You mu PTOL-413 (amended 03)		s it is an attachment to	another form.
10/022,276 - P. N. 1	• •	[] File [] Applicant	Continued on next page(s) ->->

2

Art Unit 1623

INTERVIEW SUMMARY(cont.)

Claims discussed: All remaining of record, claims 63-67 in particular.

Identification of prior art discussed: NONE.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comment: Applicant was advised that claims 13-61 were allowable as amended, but that claims 63-67 lacked enabling support. Examiner suggested cancellation of claims 63-67 without prejudice by examiner's amendment to render the case allowable. Applicant agreed, and was informed that the instant case as finally amended was now allowable and would be passed to issue in due course.